arXiv:1512.08264v1 [math.NT] 27 Dec 2015

GENUS FIELDS OF CONGRUENCE FUNCTION FIELDS

MYRIAM MALDONADO–RAMÍREZ, MARTHA RZEDOWSKI–CALDERÓN,
AND GABRIEL VILLA–SALVADOR

ABSTRACT. Let k be a rational congruence function field and consider an arbitrary finite separable extension K/k. If for each prime in k ramified in K we have that at least one ramification index is not divided by the characteristic of K, we find the genus field $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$, except for constants, of the extension K/k. In general, we describe the genus field of a global function field.

1. INTRODUCTION

C. F. Gauss [9] was the first in considering what now is called the *genus field* of a quadratic number field. H. Hasse [10] introduced genus theory for quadratic number fields describing the theory invented by Gauss by means of class field theory. H. W. Leopoldt [13] determined the genus field $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ of an absolute abelian number field K generalizing the work of Hasse. Leopoldt developed the theory using Dirichlet characters and relating them with the arithmetic of K. A. Fröhlich [6, 7] introduced the concept of genus fields for nonabelian number fields. Fröhlich defined the genus field $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ of an arbitrary finite number field $K/\mathbb{Q}$ as $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} := Kk^*$ where k^* is the maximal abelian number field such that Kk^*/K is unramified. We have that k^* is the maximal abelian number field contained in $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$. The degree $[K_{\mathfrak{ge}} : K]$ is called the *genus number* of K and the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{ge}}/K)$ is called the *genus group* of K.

If K_H denotes the Hilbert class field (HCF) of K, we have $K \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteq K_H$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(K_H/K)$ is isomorphic to the class group Cl_K of K. Then $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ corresponds to a subgroup G_K of Cl_K, that is, $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{ge}}/K) \cong Cl_K/G_K$. The subgroup G_K is called the *principal genus* of K and $|Cl_K/G_K|$ is equal to the genus number of K.

M. Ishida [12] described the genus field $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ of any finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, allowing ramification at the infinite primes. Given a number field K, Ishida found an abelian number field k_1^* and described another number field k_2^* such that $k^* = k_1^*k_2^*$ and $k_1^* \cap k_2^* = \mathbb{Q}$. The field k_1^* was constructed by means of the finite primes p such that at least one ramification index of the decomposition of p in K is not divisible by p. In other words, by those primes p such that at least one prime in K above p is tamely ramified.

We are interested in genus fields in the context of congruence (global) function fields. In this case there is no proper notion of Hilbert class field since all the constant field extensions are abelian and unramified. In fact, if the class number of a congruence function field K is h_K then there are exactly $h := h_K$ abelian extensions $K_1, \ldots, K_h$ of K such that K_i/K are maximal unramified with exact

Date: December 27th., 2015.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R60; Secondary 11R58, 11R29.
Key words and phrases. Genus fields, cyclotomic function fields, global function fields.

field of constants of each K_i the same as the one of K, $\mathbb{F}_q$, the finite field of q elements and $\mathrm{Gal}(K_i/K) \cong Cl_{K,0}$ the group of classes of divisors of degree zero ([2, Chapter 8, page 79]).

M. Rosen [17] gave a definition of Hilbert class fields of K, fixing a nonempty finite set S_∞ of prime divisors of K. Using Rosen's definition of HCF is possible to give a proper concept of genus fields along the lines of number fields. In the literature there have been different definitions of genus fields according to different HCF definitions. R. Clement [5] found a narrow genus field of a cyclic extension of $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ of prime degree dividing $q-1$. She used the concept of HCF similar to that of a quadratic number field K: it is the finite abelian extension of K such that the prime ideals of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of K splitting are precisely the principal ideals generated by an element of positive norm. S. Bae and J. K. Koo [3] were able to generalize the results of Clement with the methods developed by Fröhlich [8]. They defined the genus field for general global function fields and developed the analogue of the classical genus theory.

B. Anglès and J.-F. Jaulent [1] used narrow S–class groups to establish the fundamental results for genus theory of finite extensions of global fields, where S is a finite nonempty set of places. G. Peng [16] explicitly described the genus theory for Kummer function fields for a prime number l based on the global function field analogue of P. E. Conner and J. Hurrelbrink exact hexagon.

C. Wittman [20] extended Peng's results to the case $l \nmid q(q-1)$ and used his results to study the l–part of the ideal class groups of cyclic extensions of degree l. S. Hu and Y. Li [11] described explicitly the genus field of an Artin–Schreier extension of $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$.

In [14, 15] we developed a theory of genus fields of congruence function fields using Rosen's definition of HCF. The methods we used there are based on the ideas of Leopoldt using Dirichlet characters and a general description of $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ in terms of Dirichlet characters. The genus field $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ was obtained for an abelian extension K of k. The method can be used to give $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ explicitly when K/k is a cyclic extension of prime degree $l \mid q-1$ (Kummer) or $l = p$ where p is the characteristic (Artin–Schreier) and also when K/k is a p–cyclic extension (Witt). Later on, the method was used in [4] to describe $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ explicitly when K/k is a cyclic extension of degree l^n, where l is a prime number and $l^n \mid q-1$.

In this paper we consider a congruence function field K with exact field of constants a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and such that K is a finite separable extension of $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$. We use Rosen's definition of HCF of K to find $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ as $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = Kk^*$, where k^* is the composite of two fields k_1^* and k_2^*. Following Ishida's methods, we prove that k_1^* is contained in the composite of constants with fields $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ where $k \subseteq F_{\mathcal{P}} \subseteq k(\Lambda_P)$, $\mathcal{P}$ is fully ramified in $F_{\mathcal{P}}/k$, $\mathcal{P}$ running in the set of finite primes ramified in K/k. Here P is the monic irreducible polynomial in T associated to $\mathcal{P}$ and $k(\Lambda_P)$ is the P–th cyclotomic function field. The field k_2^* encodes the wild ramification of the extension k^*/k. The main difficulty handling k_1^* is the decomposition of the infinite primes.

2. Notation and basic results on cyclotomic function fields

The results on function fields and cyclotomic function fields we need in this paper may be consulted in [19]. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of q elements and of characteristic p. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ be a fixed rational function field. Let K be a congruence

(global) function field with exact field of constants a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and such that K/k is a finite separable extension. Let $R_T = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be the ring of polynomials and R_T^+ denotes the subset of monic irreducible polynomials. The pole of T in k will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}_\infty$. We say that $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is the *infinite prime* of k.

For $M \in R_T \setminus \{0\}$, Λ_M denotes the M torsion of the Carlitz module and $k(\Lambda_M)$ denotes the M–th cyclotomic function field. We have that $k(\Lambda_M)/k$ is an abelian extension and $\mathrm{Gal}(k(\Lambda_M)/k) \cong \big(R_T/(M)\big)^*$. For any $M \in R_T \setminus \{0\}$ the prime $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ has ramification index $q-1$ and decomposes in $\Phi(M)/(q-1)$ primes of degree one in $k(\Lambda_M)$. The inertia group of $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ in $k(\Lambda_M)/k$ is identified with $\mathbb{F}_q^* \subseteq \big(R_T/(M)\big)^*$. The fixed field $k(\Lambda_M)^{\mathbb{F}_q^*} = k(\Lambda_M)^+$ is the *maximal real subfield* of $k(\Lambda_M)$.

By a geometric extension we mean an extension without new constants.

The definition we use for the Hilbert class field is the one given by Rosen. That is:

Definition 2.1. Given a congruence function field K, the *Hilbert class field* K_H of K is defined as the maximal unramified abelian extension of K such that all the primes in K above $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ decompose fully.

With this definition of Hilbert class field, the definition of the genus field of K/k is given as follows.

Definition 2.2. Let K be a finite separable extension of k. The *genus field* $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ of K is the maximal extension of K contained in K_H that is the composite of K and an abelian extension of k. Equivalently, $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = Kk^*$, where k^* is the maximal abelian extension of k contained in K_H.

Note that it is possible to have $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = KE$ for several different subfields $E \subsetneq k^*$. We are interested in k^* itself. In particular we have $k^* = k^*_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ and since k^*/k is abelian, the description of such k^* may be found in [14].

The set of prime divisors in k will be denoted by $\mathbb{P}_k$ and let $\mathbb{P}_k^* := \mathbb{P}_k \setminus \{\mathcal{P}_\infty\}$ be the set of finite primes of k.

The conorm map from a field E to a field F will be denoted by $\mathrm{con}_{E/F}$. In an extension F/E, $e(F|E)$ denotes the ramification index of a prime in F above one in E. If the primes are $\mathfrak{P}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ we also write $e(F|E) = e(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}) = e_{F/E}(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p})$. The symbol $d_E(\mathfrak{p})$ denotes the degree of $\mathfrak{p}$ for a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in E. If $\mathcal{P}$ is a prime in k, its degree will be denoted by $d_\mathcal{P}$.

For any finite extension E/k and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote the extension of constants $E\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ by E_m.

Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_s, \mathcal{P}_{s+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_t$ be the finite primes in k ramified in K. Let $P_i \in R_T^+$ be such that the divisor $(P_i)_k$ is $(P_i)_k = \frac{\mathcal{P}_i}{\mathcal{P}_\infty^{\deg P_i}}$ for $1 \le i \le t$. For a prime $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}_k$, if $\mathrm{con}_{k/K}\,\mathcal{P} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$, we denote

$$e_\mathcal{P} = \gcd(e_1, \ldots, e_r) = p^{u_\mathcal{P}} e_\mathcal{P}^{(0)}, \quad u_\mathcal{P} \ge 0, \quad \gcd(p, e_\mathcal{P}^{(0)}) = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

We assume that $p \nmid e_{\mathcal{P}_i}$ for $1 \le i \le s$ and $p \mid e_{\mathcal{P}_j}$ for $s+1 \le j \le t$. That is, $u_{\mathcal{P}_i} = 0$ for $1 \le i \le s$ and $u_{\mathcal{P}_j} \ge 1$ for $s+1 \le j \le t$.

One of the main tools used in this paper is the following result.

Theorem 2.3 (Abhyankar's Lemma)**.** *Let F/E be a finite separable extension of function fields. Suppose that $F = E_1E_2$ with $E \subseteq E_i \subseteq F$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a prime of E and $\mathfrak{P}$ a prime in F above $\mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{P} \cap E_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. If at least one of the extensions E_i/E*

is tamely ramified at $\mathfrak{p}_i$, then

$$e_{F/E}(\mathfrak{P}|\mathcal{P}) = \operatorname{lcm}[e_{E_1/E}(\mathfrak{p}_1|\mathcal{P}), e_{E_2/E}(\mathfrak{p}_2|\mathcal{P})].$$

Proof. [19, Theorem 12.4.4]. □

We also recall two results.

Proposition 2.4. *Let L/k be a finite abelian extension, $P \in R_T^+$ and $d := \deg P$. Assume P is tamely ramified in L/k. If e denotes the ramification index of P in L/k, we have $e \mid q^d - 1$.*

Proof. [18, Proposition 4.1]. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let L/k be an abelian extension where at most a prime divisor $\mathfrak{p}_0$ of degree 1 is ramified and the extension is tamely ramified. Then L/k is a constant extension.*

Proof. [18, Proposition 4.2]. □

With respect to the genus field of a finite abelian extension of k, we have the following results (see [14, 15, Theorem 4.2]).

Theorem 2.6. *Let $K/\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite abelian extension of k where $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is tamely ramified. Let $N \in R_T$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $K \subseteq k(\Lambda_N)\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Let $E_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ be the genus field of $E := k(\Lambda_N) \cap K\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Let H_1 be the subgroup that corresponds to the decomposition group of the infinite primes of K in $E_{\mathfrak{ge}}K/K$ under the Galois correspondence. Then the genus field of K is*

$$K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = E_{\mathfrak{ge}}^{H_1} K. \qquad \square$$

Remark 2.7. In Theorem 2.6, it was assumed originally that K/k is a geometric extension. This is not necessary; the same proof that works for the geometric case works for any finite abelian extension K/k.

3. General case

We consider a finite separable extension K/k and let $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = Kk^*$. First we prove some general results.

Proposition 3.1. *Let E/k be a finite tamely ramified abelian extension. For a finite prime $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}_k$, let $\operatorname{con}_{k/K} \mathcal{P} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$ and let $e_{\mathcal{P}}^*$ be the ramification index of $\mathcal{P}$ in E/k. Then KE/K is unramified at the primes above $\mathcal{P}$ if and only if $e_{\mathcal{P}}^* \mid e_{\mathcal{P}}$, where $e_{\mathcal{P}}$ is given by* (2.1).

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime in KE above $\mathcal{P} = \mathfrak{P} \cap k$. Thus $\mathfrak{P} \cap K = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i. Then, from Abhyankar's Lemma, we have

$$e(\mathfrak{P}|\mathcal{P}) = \operatorname{lcm}[e(\mathfrak{p}_i|\mathcal{P}), e(\mathfrak{P} \cap E|\mathcal{P})] = \operatorname{lcm}[e_i, e_{\mathcal{P}}^*] = e(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}_i)e(\mathfrak{p}_i|\mathcal{P}) = e(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}_i)e_i.$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{P}$ is unramified in $KE/K \iff e(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}_i) = 1 \iff \operatorname{lcm}[e_i, e_{\mathcal{P}}^*] = e_i \iff e_{\mathcal{P}}^* \mid e_i$. The result follows. □

Consider the conorm of the infinite prime of k:

$$\operatorname{con}_{k/K} \mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathfrak{p}_{1,\infty}^{e_{1,\infty}} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{r_\infty,\infty}^{e_{r_\infty,\infty}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Let t_i be the degree of $\mathfrak{p}_{i,\infty}$ and

$$t_0 := \gcd(t_1, \ldots, t_{r_\infty}). \tag{3.2}$$

Proposition 3.2. *The field of constants of $K_{\mathfrak{gc}}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}$.*

Proof. Consider the extension of constants $K\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/K$ with $m \geq 1$. We have that $\mathfrak{p}_{i,\infty}$ splits into $\gcd(t_i, m)$ factors ([19, Theorem 6.2.1]). Therefore $\mathfrak{p}_{i,\infty}$ decomposes fully in $K\mathbb{F}_m \iff \gcd(t_i, m) = m \iff m \mid t_i$. Thus the infinite primes of K decompose fully in $K\mathbb{F}_m \iff m \mid t_0$. It follows that $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}$ is the field of constants of $K_{\mathfrak{gc}}$. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a prime divisor of k of degree d such that $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{P}_\infty$ and $p \nmid e_{\mathcal{P}}$. Let $K_{\mathfrak{gc}} = Kk^*$ and let $e^*_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the ramification index of $\mathcal{P}$ in k^*/k. Then $\gcd\left(e_{\mathcal{P}}, \frac{q^d-1}{q-1}\right) \mid e^*_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $e^*_{\mathcal{P}} \mid e_{\mathcal{P}}$.*

Proof. From Proposition 3.1 we have $e^*_{\mathcal{P}} \mid \gcd(e_1, \ldots, e_r) = e_{\mathcal{P}}$. Furthermore $\mathcal{P}$ is fully ramified in $k(\Lambda_P)/k$, where $(P)_k = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{P}_\infty^{\deg P}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ decomposes fully in $k(\Lambda_P)^{\mathbb{F}_q^*}/k$. The degree of the extension $k(\Lambda_P)^{\mathbb{F}_q^*}/k$ is $(q^d-1)/(q-1)$. Let S be the subfield $k \subseteq S \subseteq k(\Lambda_P)$ of degree $\gcd\left(e_{\mathcal{P}}, \frac{q^d-1}{q-1}\right)$. Then by Proposition 3.1 we have that S satisfies that KS/K is unramified and the infinite primes in K decompose fully in KS/K since $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ decomposes fully in S/k. Therefore $KS \subseteq Kk^*$, $S \subseteq k^*$ and $\gcd\left(e_{\mathcal{P}}, \frac{q^d-1}{q-1}\right) \mid e^*_{\mathcal{P}}$. □

Let $G := \mathrm{Gal}(k^*/k)$ and let G_p be the p–Sylow subgroup of G. Then $G = G_0 \times G_p$ with $p \nmid |G_0|$. Therefore we have the decomposition

$$k^* = k_1^* k_2^*, \quad k_1^* \cap k_2^* = k, \quad G_0 = \mathrm{Gal}(k_1^*/k), \quad G_p = \mathrm{Gal}(k_2^*/k). \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, k_1^*/k is tamely ramified and k_2^*/k is a p–extension so that it is wildly ramified unless it is an extension of constants.

Now we study the field k_1^*. To find an explicit description of k_1^* we proceed as follows. Let

$$F_0 := \prod_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}_k^*} F_{\mathcal{P}} = \prod_{i=1}^{t} F_{\mathcal{P}_i} \tag{3.4}$$

where $k \subseteq F_{\mathcal{P}} \subseteq k(\Lambda_P)$ is the unique subfield of the extension $k(\Lambda_P)/k$ of degree

$$c_{\mathcal{P}} := \gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}}, q^{d_{\mathcal{P}}} - 1) = \gcd(e^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}}, q^{d_{\mathcal{P}}} - 1). \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore F_0 satisfies that KF_0/K is unramified at every finite prime (Proposition 3.1).

Let $R := k(\Lambda_{P_1 \cdots P_t})$ and $R^+ := k(\Lambda_{P_1 \cdots P_t})^+$. Then $F_0 \subseteq R$.

Theorem 3.4. *With the notations as above, we have*

$$k_1^* \subseteq F_0 \mathbb{F}_{q^{u_1}} \quad \textit{and} \quad K(F_0 \cap R^+)\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0'}} \subseteq Kk_1^* \subseteq KF_0\mathbb{F}_{q^{u_1}},$$

for some $u_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and where F_0 is given by (3.4)*, t_0 is given by Proposition* 3.2 *and $t_0 = t_0' p^v$ with $\gcd(t_0', p) = 1$.*

Proof. We will prove that $k_1^* \subseteq F_0\mathbb{F}_{q^{u_1}}$ for some $u_1 \in \mathbb{N}$. For any prime $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}_k^*$ we obtain from Proposition 3.1 that if the ramification index of $\mathcal{P}$ in k_1^*/k is $b_{\mathcal{P}}$, then $b_{\mathcal{P}} | e_{\mathcal{P}}$ and since k_1^*/k is a finite abelian tamely ramified extension, we have $b_{\mathcal{P}} \mid q^{d_{\mathcal{P}}} - 1$ (Proposition 2.4). Hence $b_{\mathcal{P}} \mid c_{\mathcal{P}} = \gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}}, q^{d_{\mathcal{P}}} - 1) = [F_{\mathcal{P}} : k]$. Let $F'_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the subfield of $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ of degree $b_{\mathcal{P}}$ over k.

We may assume that the finite ramified primes in k_1^*/k are all of $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_t$ since, if some of the $b_{\mathcal{P}_i}$ are equal to 1, the argument below works even in this case.

We start with $\mathcal{P}_1$. From Abhyankar's Lemma we have that the ramification index of $\mathcal{P}_1$ in $k_1^* F'_{\mathcal{P}_1}$ over k is $b_{\mathcal{P}_1}$. Let $I_{\mathcal{P}_1}$ be the inertia group of $\mathcal{P}_1$ in $k_1^* F'_{\mathcal{P}_1}$ which is of order $b_{\mathcal{P}_1}$. Let E_1 be the fixed field of $k_1^* F'_{\mathcal{P}_1}$ under $I_{\mathcal{P}_1}$. Since $\mathcal{P}_1$ is fully ramified in $F'_{\mathcal{P}_1}/k$ and unramified in E_1/k we have $E_1 \cap F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} = k$ and

$$[E_1 F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} : k] = [E_1 : k][F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} : k] = \frac{[k_1^* F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} : k]}{|I_{\mathcal{P}_1}|} |I_{\mathcal{P}_1}| = [k_1^* F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} : k].$$



Therefore $k_1^* F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} = E_1 F'_{\mathcal{P}_1}$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{P}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_t$ are unramified in $F'_{\mathcal{P}_1}$ their ramification indices are $b_{\mathcal{P}_2}, \ldots, b_{\mathcal{P}_t}$ in $E_1 F'_{\mathcal{P}_1}/F'_{\mathcal{P}_1}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_t$ have ramification indices $b_{\mathcal{P}_2}, \ldots, b_{\mathcal{P}_t}$ in E_1/k.

Take now E_1 instead of k_1^* and $F'_{\mathcal{P}_2}$ instead of $F'_{\mathcal{P}_1}$. We obtain E_2 such that $E_1 F'_{\mathcal{P}_2} = E_2 F'_{\mathcal{P}_2}$ and $\mathcal{P}_3, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_t$ are the only finite primes of k ramified in E_2 with ramification indices $b_{\mathcal{P}_3}, \ldots b_{\mathcal{P}_t}$ respectively. Note that

$$k_1^* F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} F'_{\mathcal{P}_2} = E_1 F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} F'_{\mathcal{P}_2} = F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} E_1 F'_{\mathcal{P}_2} = F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} E_2 F'_{\mathcal{P}_2} = E_2 F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} F'_{\mathcal{P}_2}.$$

In the general step we have $E_{i-1} F'_{\mathcal{P}_i} = E_i F'_{\mathcal{P}_i}$ and the ramification indices of $\mathcal{P}_{i+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_t$ in E_i/k are $b_{\mathcal{P}_{i+1}}, \ldots, b_{\mathcal{P}_t}$ and $k_1^* F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} \ldots F'_{\mathcal{P}_i} = E_i F'_{\mathcal{P}_1} \ldots F'_{\mathcal{P}_i}$.

Keeping on in this way we finally obtain E_t such that $E_{t-1} F'_{\mathcal{P}_t} = E_t F'_{\mathcal{P}_t}$, no finite prime is ramified in E_t/k and $k_1^* F'_0 = E_t F'_0$ where $F'_0 = \prod_{i=1}^t F'_{\mathcal{P}_i}$.

Since the only possibly ramified prime in E_t/k is $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ and it is tamely ramified, from Proposition 2.5 we obtain that E_t/k is a constant field extension, say $E_t = \mathbb{F}_{q^{u_1}}(T) = k_{u_1}$.

Since $\left\{F'_{\mathcal{P}_i}\right\}_{i=1}^t$ are pairwise linearly disjoint and F'_0/k is a geometric extension, we have

$$[F'_0 : k] = \prod_{i=1}^t [F'_{\mathcal{P}_i} : k] = \prod_{i=1}^t b_{\mathcal{P}_i}, \quad E_t \cap F'_0 = k \quad \text{and} \quad [k_1^* F'_0 : k] = [E_t : k][F'_0 : k].$$

In particular, $\mathbb{F}_{q^{u_1}}$ is the field of constants of $k_1^* F'_0$.

Therefore $k_1^* \subseteq k_1^* F'_0 = E_t F'_0 \subseteq F_0 \mathbb{F}_{q^{u_1}}$ and $K k_1^* \subseteq K F_0 \mathbb{F}_{q^{u_1}}$. Finally, since the extension $K(F_0 \cap R^+)\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}/K$ is unramified and the infinite primes are fully decomposed, it follows that $K(F_0 \cap R^+)\mathbb{F}_{q^{t'_0}} \subseteq K k_1^*$. □

Remark 3.5. In the proof of Theorem 3.4 we have obtained that in fact $k_1^* \subseteq E_t F'_0$ and that $\mathbb{F}_{q^{u_1}}$ is the field of constants of $k_1^* F'_0$.

To study k_2^* we first prove:

Lemma 3.6. *We have $k^*_{\mathfrak{ge}} = (k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}}(k_2^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} = k^*$. Furthermore $(k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} = k_1^*$ and $(k_2^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} = k_2^*$.*

Proof. We have $k^* = k_1^*k_2^*$ and we have already noted that $k^*_{\mathfrak{ge}} = k^*$. Since $(k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}}/k_1^*$ is unramified and the infinite primes decompose fully, the same holds in the extension $k^*(k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}}/k^*$ so that $(k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteq k^*_{\mathfrak{ge}}$. Similarly $(k_2^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteq k^*_{\mathfrak{ge}}$. Hence $(k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}}(k_2^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteq k^*_{\mathfrak{ge}}$.

Now, since $(k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} \supseteq k_1^*$ and $(k_2^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} \supseteq k_2^*$, we obtain

$$k^*_{\mathfrak{ge}} = k^* = k_1^*k_2^* \subseteq (k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}}(k_2^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteq k^*_{\mathfrak{ge}}.$$

Let now $[k_1^* : k] = a$ and $[k_2^* : k] = p^v$ where $p \nmid a$. If $k_1^* \subsetneqq (k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}}$, let $M := (k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} \cap k_2^*$. From the Galois correspondence we obtain that $M \neq k$.

Let $[M : k] = p^b$ with $b \geq 1$. We have M/k is unramified since otherwise there exists a prime in k with ramification index p^c with $c \geq 1$ in M. Since $p \nmid a$, it follows that there exists a ramified prime in $(k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}}/k_1^*$ with ramification index p^c. This contradiction shows that M/k is unramified. Thus M/k is an extension of constants. It follows that $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ has inertia degree p^b in M/k but this implies that the inertia degree of the infinite primes in $(k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}}/k_1^*$ is p^b which is impossible. Therefore $(k_1^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} = k_1^*$. Similarly $(k_2^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} = k_2^*$. □

Remark 3.7. In general, if $L = L_1L_2$, then $(L_1)_{\mathfrak{ge}}(L_2)_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteqq L_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ but not necessarily $L_{\mathfrak{ge}} = (L_1)_{\mathfrak{ge}}(L_2)_{\mathfrak{ge}}$. For instance, let $q > 2$ and let $P, Q, R, S \in R_T$ be four monic irreducible polynomials in k. Let $L_1 := k(\Lambda_{P^2Q^2})^+$ and $L_2 := k(\Lambda_{R^2S^2})^+$. Then $L_1 = (L_1)_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ and $L_2 = (L_2)_{\mathfrak{ge}}$. Let $L := L_1L_2$. Then $L_{\mathfrak{ge}} = k(\Lambda_{P^2Q^2R^2S^2})^+$ and $[L_{\mathfrak{ge}} : L] = q - 1 > 1$. Thus $L_{\mathfrak{ge}} = (L_1L_2)_{\mathfrak{ge}} \neq (L_1)_{\mathfrak{ge}}(L_2)_{\mathfrak{ge}} = L$.

Now let $\mathrm{Gal}(k_2^*/k) \cong C_{p^{n_1}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{n_\nu}}$ and if for each $1 \leq i \leq \nu$, E_i is the subfield $k \subseteq E_i \subseteq k_2^*$ such that $\mathrm{Gal}(E_i/k) \cong C_{p^{n_i}}$, then from [14, Theorem 5.7], we obtain that $(E_i)_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ is the composite of p–cyclic extensions of k such that in each one only one prime is ramified or is an extension of constants. Therefore, $(k_2^*)_{\mathfrak{ge}} = k_2^*$ is the composite of this type of cyclic p–extensions.

Finally, since $u_{\mathcal{P}_j} \geq 1$ for $s + 1 \leq j \leq t$ (see (2.1)), we have the following result.

Theorem 3.8. *The field k_2^* is of the form $k_2^* = J_{s+1}J_{s+2}\cdots J_tJ_\infty$ where $\mathcal{P}_j$ is the only ramified prime in J_j/k, $[J_j : k] = p^{v_j}$ with $0 \leq v_j \leq u_{\mathcal{P}_j}$ for $s + 1 \leq j \leq t$, and J_∞ is an abelian p–extension of k that is either an extension of constants or such that $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is the only ramified prime.* □

4. The genus field in a special case

Let K/k be a finite separable extension such that for all $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}_k$, $p \nmid e_{\mathcal{P}} = \gcd(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ where $\mathrm{con}_{k/K}\,\mathcal{P} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$. That is, we assume $t = s$ and also $p \nmid e_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$.

We have that k_1^* is given by (3.3) and in this case we have k_2^*/k is unramified. Hence k_2^*/k is an extension of constants.

To find a more explicit description of k_1^* we proceed as follows. First we consider the behavior of $\mathcal{P}_\infty$. Let $\mathrm{con}_{k/K}\,\mathcal{P}_\infty$ be given by (3.1).

We have $e_\infty(F_{\mathcal{P}}|k) \mid \gcd(c_{\mathcal{P}}, q - 1)$ for $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}_k^*$. By Abhyankar's Lemma we obtain that if

$$c_\infty := e_\infty(F_0|k),$$

is the ramification index of $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ in F_0/k then

$$c_\infty \mid \operatorname{lcm}\left[\gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}_1}, q-1), \ldots, \gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}_s}, q-1)\right] = \gcd\left(\operatorname{lcm}[e_{\mathcal{P}_1}, \ldots, e_{\mathcal{P}_s}], q-1\right).$$

To obtain a formula for c_∞, we consider the following. We have from (3.5)

$$c_{\mathcal{P}} = [F_{\mathcal{P}} : k] = \gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}}, q^{d_{\mathcal{P}}} - 1),$$

where $d_{\mathcal{P}} = d_k(\mathcal{P})$. Let $H := \operatorname{Gal}(R/F_0)$, where $R = k(\Lambda_{P_1 \cdots P_s})$. Let $M := F_0 R^+$, where $R^+ = k(\Lambda_{P_1 \cdots P_s})^+$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e_\infty(M|k) &= e_\infty(M|R^+) e_\infty(R^+|F_0 \cap R^+) e_\infty(F_0 \cap R^+|k) \\ &= [M : R^+] \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = [M : R^+] = [F_0 : F_0 \cap R^+]; \\ e_\infty(M|k) &= e_\infty(M|F_0) e_\infty(F_0|F_0 \cap R^+) e_\infty(F_0 \cap R^+|k) \\ &= 1 \cdot e_\infty(F_0|F_0 \cap R^+) \cdot 1 = e_\infty(F_0|F_0 \cap R^+). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

(4.1)

$$c_\infty = e_\infty(F_0|k) = e_\infty(F_0|F_0 \cap R^+) = e_\infty(M|k) = [F_0 : F_0 \cap R^+] = [M : R^+].$$

We choose the maximal field F with $F_0 \cap R^+ \subseteq F \subseteq F_0$ and such that the infinite primes of K decompose fully in KF. Note that such field F exists since if F_1, F_2 are two fields such that $F_0 \cap R^+ \subseteq F_i \subseteq F_0$ and such that the infinite primes of K decompose fully in KF_i/K, $i = 1, 2$, then $F_1 F_2$ satisfies the same properties.

Remark 4.1. With the notation of Theorem 3.4, observe that since KF/K is unramified, and because $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ splits fully in KF/K, it follows that $F \subseteq k_1^*$ so that $Fk_1^* = k_1^* \subseteq k_1^* F_0'$. Since $F_0 \cap R^+ \subseteq F_0' \subseteq F_0$ we have $F \subseteq F_0'$. In general we may have $F_0' \neq F$, see Example 5.1.

Next, we determine F for an abelian extension K/k.

Proposition 4.2. *Let K/k be a finite abelian tamely ramified extension. With the notation in Theorem* 2.6 *we have*

$$F \subseteq E_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteq F_0,$$

more precisely

$$F = E_{\mathfrak{ge}}^{H_1} \quad \textit{and} \quad K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = KF.$$

Proof. In this case $s = t$ and $N = P_1 \cdots P_t$. Since for any prime $\mathcal{P}$ in k, the ramification index in K/k is the same as the ramification index in E/k (see [14, Section 4.1]) and $F_0 = \prod_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}_k^*} F_{\mathcal{P}}$, we have $E_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteq F_0$.

The infinite prime decomposes fully in $F_0 \cap R^+/k$. Hence the infinite primes decompose fully in $E(F_0 \cap R^+)/E$. Since the extension $E(F_0 \cap R^+)/E$ is unramified, we have $F_0 \cap R^+ \subseteq E_{\mathfrak{ge}}$.

We observe that by Abhyankar's Lemma (Theorem 2.3) the extension $K(F_0 \cap R^+)/K$ is unramified and the infinite primes decompose fully. Thus $F \subseteq E_{\mathfrak{ge}}$.

Finally, again by Abhyankar's Lemma, $KE_{\mathfrak{ge}}/K$ is unramified and the inertia of the infinite primes corresponds to H_1, that is, $E_{\mathfrak{ge}}^{H_1}$ is the maximal extension such that $F_0 \cap R^+ \subseteq E_{\mathfrak{ge}}^{H_1} \subseteq F_0$ and that in $KE_{\mathfrak{ge}}^{H_1}/K$ the infinite primes decompose fully. Therefore $F = E_{\mathfrak{ge}}^{H_1}$. From Theorem 2.6, it follows $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = KF$. □

Remark 4.3. Let K/k be a finite tamely ramified abelian extension. Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_s$ be the finite ramified primes. Then $F_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{s} F_{\mathcal{P}_i}$ with $k \subseteq F_{\mathcal{P}_i} \subseteq k(\Lambda_{P_i})$. We have $[F_{\mathcal{P}_i} : k] = c_{\mathcal{P}_i} = \gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}_i}, q^{\deg P_i} - 1)$. Since K/k is abelian and tamely ramified we have $e_{\mathcal{P}_i} \mid q^{\deg P_i} - 1$ (Proposition 2.4). Therefore, $c_{\mathcal{P}_i} = e_{\mathcal{P}_i}$.

Now let

$$c'_\infty := [F : F_0 \cap R^+] = e_\infty(F|k).$$

Since

$$c_\infty = [F_0 : F_0 \cap R^+] = [F_0 : F][F : F_0 \cap R^+] = [F_0 : F]c'_\infty$$

we have $c'_\infty \mid c_\infty$.



The degree c'_∞ must satisfy the following. By Abhyankar's Lemma, we have that if $\mathfrak{P}$ is a prime in KF dividing $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ and if $\mathfrak{P} \cap K = \mathfrak{p}_{i,\infty}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mathfrak{P}|\mathcal{P}_\infty) = \operatorname{lcm}[e_{i,\infty}, c'_\infty] &= \frac{e_{i,\infty}c'_\infty}{\gcd(e_{i,\infty}, c'_\infty)} \\ &= e(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}_{i,\infty})e(\mathfrak{p}_{i,\infty}|\mathcal{P}_\infty) = e(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}_{i,\infty})e_{i,\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$e(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}_{i,\infty}) = \frac{c'_\infty}{\gcd(e_{i,\infty}, c'_\infty)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Therefore

$$e(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}_{i,\infty}) = 1 \iff \gcd(e_{i,\infty}, c'_\infty) = c'_\infty \iff c'_\infty \mid e_{i,\infty}.$$

Thus, KF/K is unramified if and only if $c'_\infty \mid e_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} = \gcd(e_{1,\infty}, \ldots, e_{r_\infty,\infty})$.

Therefore c'_∞ must be maximal in the sense $c'_\infty \mid c_\infty$, $c'_\infty \mid e_\infty$ where $e_\infty = e_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$, c_∞ is given by (4.1) and the infinite primes of K decompose fully in KF. Hence

$$c'_\infty \mid \gcd(c_\infty, e_\infty). \tag{4.3}$$

That is, F is the field

$$F_0 \cap R^+ \subseteq F \subseteq F_0 \quad \text{such that} \quad [F : F_0 \cap R^+] = c'_\infty. \tag{4.4}$$

Let H_2 be the subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ of order $\frac{q-1}{c'_\infty}$ and let $N := R^{H_2}$. Note that $|H \cap \mathbb{F}_q^*| = [R : F_0R^+] = \frac{q-1}{c_\infty}$. Hence $|H \cap \mathbb{F}_q^*| \mid |H_2|$ and from (4.3) we obtain

$$[M : N] = [F_0 : F] = \frac{c_\infty}{c'_\infty}.$$

With the above notation we have the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let K/k be a finite separable extension such that every prime $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}_k$ satisfies that if $\mathrm{con}_{k/K}\,\mathcal{P} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$, then $p \nmid e_{\mathcal{P}} = \gcd(e_1,\ldots,e_r)$. Then*

$$KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}} \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteq KF_0\mathbb{F}_{q^u},$$

where F_0 is given by (3.4)*, F is given by* (4.4)*, t_0 is given by* (3.2) *and $u \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Furthermore, $KF_0\mathbb{F}_{q^u}/K$ is unramified at every finite prime and the ramification index of the infinite prime $\mathfrak{p}_{i,\infty}$ is $\frac{c_\infty}{\gcd(e_{i,\infty},c_\infty)}$, $1 \le i \le r_\infty$ where c_∞ is given by (4.1)*.*

Proof. Because KF/K is unramified and the infinite primes decompose fully, we have $F \subseteq k_1^*$. Therefore by Proposition 3.2 we have $KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}} \subseteq Kk^* = K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$.

Since $p \nmid e_{\mathcal{P}}$ for all $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}_k$ it follows from Theorem 3.8 and Proposition 2.5 that k_2^*/k is an extension of constants, so that $k_2^* = \mathbb{F}_{q^{u_2}}(T)$. Furthermore, since $k_2^* \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ we have $u_2 | t_0$.

From Theorem 3.4 we have $k_1^* \subseteq F_0\mathbb{F}_{q^{u_1}}$ for some $u_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Kk_1^* \subseteq KF_0\mathbb{F}_{q^{u_1}}$. Hence $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = Kk^* = Kk_1^*k_2^* \subseteq KF_0\mathbb{F}_{q^u}$, where $u = \mathrm{lcm}[u_1,u_2]$.

The ramification index of $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ in F_0/k is c_∞ where c_∞ is given by (4.1). Now applying (4.2) to F_0 and c_∞ we obtain the ramification index of $\mathfrak{p}_{i,\infty}$ in $KF_0\mathbb{F}_{q^u}/K$ is $\frac{c_\infty}{\gcd(e_{i,\infty},c_\infty)}$. □

Remark 4.5. Note that $F \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{ge}} \cap F_0$. Because $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} \cap F_0 \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$, we have the infinite primes of K decompose fully in $(K_{\mathfrak{ge}} \cap F_0)K$. Besides, $F_0 \cap R^+ \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{ge}} \cap F_0 \subseteq F_0$. It follows from the maximality of F that

$$K_{\mathfrak{ge}} \cap F_0 = F.$$

Therefore $KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}} \cap F_0 = F$ and $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} \cap KF_0\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}} = KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}$.

Observe that if we had in the proof that $(K_{\mathfrak{ge}})_u \cap F_0 = F$, then by the Galois correspondence we would obtain $(K_{\mathfrak{ge}})_u = ((K_{\mathfrak{ge}})_u \cap F_0)K_u = FK\mathbb{F}_{q^u}$. Hence $FK\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}} \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteq (K_{\mathfrak{ge}})_u = FK\mathbb{F}_{q^u} = KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}\mathbb{F}_{q^u}$. Therefore $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}/FK\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}$ is an extension of constants and since the field of constants of $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}$, it would follow the equality

$$K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = FK\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}.$$

In case that $F = F_0$ then $KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}} \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteq KF\mathbb{F}_{q^u}$ so that $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}/KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}$ is an extension of constants and then $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}$.

Finally, if $u = t_0$, then $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = K_{\mathfrak{ge}} \cap KF_0\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}} = KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}$ (see the diagram below). Hence $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}$.

Also, when K/k is an abelian tamely ramified extension, we have $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = KF$ (see Proposition 4.2).

In short, it is very likely that always $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}$.



5. Applications and examples

Example 5.1. Consider $q = 3$ and $P = T^3 + 2T + 1$. We have P is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_3(T)$. Let $K = k(\sqrt{P})$. In our construction, if $\mathcal{P}$ is the prime corresponding to P, we have $F_0 = F_{\mathcal{P}} = k(\sqrt{(-1)^{\deg P}P}) = k(\sqrt{-P})$. Now $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is ramified in K and in $F_0 = F_{\mathcal{P}}$. Therefore $t_0 = 1$, that is, the field of constants of $K_{\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{e}}$ is $\mathbb{F}_3$. Since $[R^+ : k] = 13$ and $[F_0 : k] = 2$, we have $F_0 \cap R^+ = k$. Now $KF_0 = K(\sqrt{-1})$. Since $\sqrt{-1} \notin \mathbb{F}_3$ we have $K(\sqrt{-1}) = K\mathbb{F}_9$ and the infinite primes are inert in KF_0/K. Hence $F = k$ and $K_{\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{e}} = K$. Here we have $F'_0 = F_0 = k(\sqrt{-P}) \neq k = F$.

5.1. **Cyclic extensions of prime degree not dividing $q(q-1)$.** Let l be a prime not dividing $q(q-1)$ and let K/k be a cyclic extension of degree l. Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_t$ be the primes in k ramified in K. Note that since the inertia group of a ramified prime is contained in the multiplicative group of the residue field, we have $l \mid (q^{\deg P_i} - 1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. In particular $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is not ramified. In this case we have $k \subseteq F_{\mathcal{P}i} \subseteq k(\Lambda_{P_i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ where $F_{\mathcal{P}i}$ is the unique subfield of $k(\Lambda_{P_i})$ of degree $c_{\mathcal{P}_i} = \gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}_i}, q^{d_{\mathcal{P}_i}} - 1) = l$. Then

$$F_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{t} F_{\mathcal{P}i} \subseteq k(\Lambda_{P_1 \cdots P_t})^+.$$

Therefore we have $c_\infty = e_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} = 1$, $F_0 \cap R^+ = F_0$. Thus $F = F_0$, $c'_\infty = 1$. Furthermore, if t_0 is the degree of the infinite prime(s) above $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ in K, then $t_0 = 1$ or l. In fact $t_0 = 1$ iff $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ decomposes in K/k. This is equivalent to $K \subseteq k(\Lambda_{P_1 \cdots P_t})^+$. We have $t_0 = l$ iff $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is inert in K/k iff $K \nsubseteq k(\Lambda_{P_1 \cdots P_t})^+$.

From Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 4.4 we have $K_{\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{e}} = KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}}$, since in this case we have $F = F_0$ and $u = t_0$.

First we consider $K \subseteq k(\Lambda_{P_1 \cdots P_t})^+$. Then $K_{\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{e}} = KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}} = KF = F$ and $[K_{\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{e}} : K] = l^{t-1}$.

Now we consider $K \nsubseteq k(\Lambda_{P_1 \cdots P_t})^+$. Then $K \nsubseteq F$ and in particular $k \subseteq K \cap F \subsetneq K$ so that $K \cap F = k$ and $[KF : K] = [F : k] = l^t$. We will prove $\mathbb{F}_{q^l} \subseteq KF$. First, we have $[KF : k] = [\mathbb{F}_{q^l}F : k] = l^{t+1}$. Now if $k_l := \mathbb{F}_{q^l}(T)$, then $k_l \cap K = k$. Now $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is inert in K/k and in k_l/k. The decomposition group $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ in $K_l = Kk_l$ is a cyclic group of order l. Consider $L := (K_l)^{\mathcal{D}}$. The prime $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ decomposes fully

in L/k and $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_t$ are the ramified primes in L/k. It follows that $L \subseteq F$. Since $L \neq K$ we obtain that $KL = K_l$ and $KL = K_l = K\mathbb{F}_{q^l} \subseteq KF$. Thus $\mathbb{F}_{q^l} \subseteq KF$. Therefore $K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = KF\mathbb{F}_{q^l} = KF$ and $[K_{\mathfrak{ge}} : K] = [KF : K] = l^t$.

Note that this example is consistent with the results of [14, 15], in particular with Theorem 4.2 and Remark 4.3 of [15]. In the notation of those papers, $K \subseteq F \iff E = K$ and $[K_{\mathfrak{ge}} : K] = [E_{\mathfrak{ge}} : E] = l^{t-1}$. When $K \nsubseteq F$, $E_{\mathfrak{ge}} = F$ we have $[K_{\mathfrak{ge}} : K] = l^t = ll^{t-1} = l[E_{\mathfrak{ge}} : E]$.

5.2. **Radical extensions.** Let $K = k(\sqrt[n]{\gamma D})$, where $D \in R_T$ is a monic polynomial and $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Let $D = P_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots P_s^{\alpha_s}$ be the decomposition of D as product of irreducible polynomials. We assume that D is n–th power free, that is, $0 < \alpha_i < n$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and we also assume $p \nmid n$.

The finite ramified primes are $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_s$ and they are tamely ramified. Indeed, let $\mathfrak{p}_i$ be a prime in K above $\mathcal{P}_i$. We have

$$e(\mathfrak{p}_i|\mathcal{P}_i)v_{\mathcal{P}_i}(D) = e(\mathfrak{p}_i|\mathcal{P}_i)\alpha_i = v_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(D) = v_{\mathfrak{p}_i}((\sqrt[n]{\gamma D})^n) = nv_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\sqrt[n]{\gamma D}). \tag{5.1}$$

Let $d_i = \gcd(\alpha_i, n)$. We obtain from (5.1) that $\frac{n}{d_i} \mid e(\mathfrak{p}_i|\mathcal{P}_i)$. On the other hand if we write $K = k(y)$, where $y^n = \gamma D$, set $z = y^{n/d_i}$. Thus $z^{d_i} = y^n = \gamma D = \gamma(P_i^{\alpha_i/d_i})^{d_i}(D/P_i^{\alpha_i})$. Therefore $k(z) = k(\sqrt[d_i]{\gamma D/P_i^{\alpha_i}})$. In particular $\mathcal{P}_i$ is unramified in $k(z)/k$. It follows that $e(\mathfrak{p}_i|\mathcal{P}_i) = n/d_i$. Thus $e_{\mathcal{P}_i} = n/d_i$. Similarly we obtain $e_\infty = e_\infty(K|k) = \frac{n}{d}$, where $d = \gcd(\deg D, n)$.

Therefore $F_0 = \prod_{i=1}^s F_{\mathcal{P}_i}$ with $c_{\mathcal{P}_i} = \gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}_i}, q^{\deg P_i} - 1) = \gcd\left(\frac{n}{d_i}, q^{\deg P_i} - 1\right)$. Then

$$e_\infty(F_{\mathcal{P}_i}|k) \mid \gcd(c_{\mathcal{P}_i}, q-1) = \gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}_i}, q-1) = \gcd\left(\frac{n}{d_i}, q-1\right).$$

Hence

$$c_\infty \mid \gcd(\operatorname{lcm}[e_{\mathcal{P}_i}, \ldots, e_{\mathcal{P}_s}], q-1) = \gcd(\operatorname{lcm}\left[\frac{n}{d_1}, \ldots, \frac{n}{d_s}\right], q-1) = \gcd(\frac{n}{d_0}, q-1),$$

where $d_0 = \gcd[d_1, \ldots, d_s]$. We also have

$$c'_\infty \mid \gcd(c_\infty, e_\infty) \mid \gcd\left(\frac{n}{d_0}, \frac{n}{d}, q-1\right).$$

By Theorem 4.4 we obtain

$$KF\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}} = k(\sqrt[n]{\gamma D})F\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_0}} \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = k(\sqrt[n]{\gamma D})_{\mathfrak{ge}} \subseteq KF_0\mathbb{F}_{q^u},$$

where $t_0, u \in \mathbb{N}$.

To find t_0, we consider the subfield $E = k(\sqrt[d]{\gamma D}) \subseteq k(\sqrt[n]{\gamma D})$. Since $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is unramified in E/k and fully ramified in K/E, we have that the inertia degree of $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ in K/k is equal to the inertia degree of $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ in E/k. Note that $D(T) = T^l + a_{l-1}T^{l-1} + \cdots + a_1T + a_0 = T^l\left(1 + a_{l-1}(\frac{1}{T}) + \cdots + a_1(\frac{1}{T})^{l-1} + a_0(\frac{1}{T})^l\right) = T^l D_1(\frac{1}{T})$ with $D_1(0) = 1$ and $d|l$. Hence $E = k(\sqrt[d]{\gamma D_1(1/T)})$ with $D_1(1/T) \in \mathbb{F}_q[1/T]$ and $D_1(1/T) \equiv 1 \bmod (1/T)$. Therefore $X^d - \gamma D_1(1/T) \bmod \mathcal{P}_\infty$ becomes $\bar{X}^d - \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q[\bar{X}]$.

Let $\mu \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ be a fixed d-th root of γ. If ζ_d denotes a primitive d–th root of unity, we have that the factorization of $\bar{X}^d - \gamma$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[\bar{X}]$ is of the form

$$\bar{X}^d - \gamma = \prod_{j=1}^r \operatorname{Irr}(\zeta_d^{ij}\mu, \bar{X}, \mathbb{F}_q)$$

for some $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \leq d-1$. From Hensel's Lemma, we obtain $X^d - \gamma D_1(\frac{1}{T}) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} F_j(X)$ with $F_j(X) \in k_\infty[X]$ distinct irreducible polynomials. In particular $\mathrm{con}_{k/K}\,\mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathfrak{p}_{\infty,1}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_{\infty,r}$ with $\deg_K \mathfrak{p}_{\infty,j} = \deg F_j(X)$, $1 \leq j \leq r$. Therefore $t_0 = \gcd_{1\leq j\leq r}\{\deg F_j(X)\} = \gcd_{1\leq j\leq r}\{[\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_d^{i_j}\mu) : \mathbb{F}_q]\} = \gcd_{0\leq i\leq d-1}\{[\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_d^i\mu) : \mathbb{F}_q]\}$. In short if we write $\sqrt[d]{\gamma} = \mu$,

$$t_0 = \gcd_{0\leq j\leq r}\left[\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_d^{i_j}\sqrt[d]{\gamma}) : \mathbb{F}_q\right] = \gcd_{0\leq i\leq d-1}\left[\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_d^{i}\sqrt[d]{\gamma}) : \mathbb{F}_q\right]. \tag{5.2}$$

It follows from (5.1) that if $\gcd(\alpha_i, n) = 1$ for some i, then K/k is a geometric extension.

Example 5.2. Consider $q = 3$, $P_1 = T$, $P_2 = T^2 - T - 1$ and $D = P_1^2P_2$. We have P_1 and P_2 are irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_3(T)$. Let $K = k(\sqrt[10]{-D})$. In our construction, if $\mathcal{P}_i$ is the prime corresponding to P_i, we have $F_{\mathcal{P}_1} = k$ and $F_{\mathcal{P}_2} = k(\sqrt{P_2})$. Hence $F_0 = k(\sqrt{P_2})$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ decomposes in $k(\sqrt{P_2})/k$, thus $k(\sqrt{P_2}) \subseteq k(\Lambda_{P1P2})^+$. Therefore $F = F_0 = k(\sqrt{P_2})$.

Since $d = 2$, we obtain $t_0 = 2$. Because u_2 is a power of 3 and u_2 divides t_0, we have $u_2 = 1$. From the proof of Theorem 3.4, we obtain that in this case $E_1 = k_1^*$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{u_1}} = E_2 \subseteq k_1^*$. Therefore u_1 divides t_0. Thus $u = u_1 \in \{1, 2\}$. It follows from Theorem 4.4 that $K_{\mathfrak{gc}} = Kk(\sqrt{P_2})\mathbb{F}_9$.

5.3. **Radical extensions of prime power degree dividing $q-1$.** As a particular case of Subsection 5.2, let l be a prime number such that $l^n \mid q-1$. Let $D \in R_T$ be a monic polynomial l^n–power free. Let $D = P_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots P_s^{\alpha_s}$ with $P_1, \ldots, P_s \in R_T^+$ and $v_l(\alpha_i) = a_i < n$. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $K = k(\sqrt[l^n]{\gamma D})$. Then $e_{\mathcal{P}_i} = l^{n-a_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Since K/k is a cyclic extension of degree l^n, K/k is a geometric extension if and only if $a_i = 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Now, we have $F_{\mathcal{P}_i} \subseteq k(\Lambda_{P_i})$ and $c_{\mathcal{P}_i} = \gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}_i}, q^{\deg P_i} - 1) = e_{\mathcal{P}_i} = l^{n-a_i}$. Therefore $F_{\mathcal{P}_i} = k(\sqrt[l^{n-a_i}]{(-1)^{\deg P_i}P_i})$ and $F_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{s} F_{\mathcal{P}_i}$.

We have $e_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} = e_\infty = l^{n-d}$, where $d = \min\{n, d'\}$ and $v_l(\deg D) = d'$. Furthermore the inertia degree of $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is $f_\infty = l^m$, where $\mathbb{F}_{q^{l^m}} = \mathbb{F}_q(\sqrt[l^d]{(-1)^{\deg D}\gamma})$ (see [4, Proposition 2.8]). Hence $t_0 = l^m$ and the field of constants of $K_{\mathfrak{gc}}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q^{l^m}}$.

Now, with respect to $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ we have $e_\infty(F_{\mathcal{P}_i}|k) = l^{n-a_i-d_i}$, where $d_i = \min\{n - a_i, d_i'\}$, $v_l(\deg P_i) = d_i'$. From Abhyankar's Lemma we obtain

$$e_\infty(F_0|k) = \mathrm{lcm}[e_\infty(F_{\mathcal{P}_i}|k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s] = \mathrm{lcm}[l^{n-a_i-d_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s] = l^{n-\delta},$$

where $\delta = \min_{1\leq i\leq s}\{a_i + d_i\} = \min_{1\leq i\leq s}\{a_i + \min\{n - a_i, d_i'\}\} = \min_{1\leq i\leq s}\{n, v_l(\deg P_i^{\alpha_i})\}$. That is

$$c_\infty = [F_0 : F_0 \cap R^+] = e_\infty(F_0|k) = l^{n-\delta}.$$

Note that $d \geq \delta$. Then $c_\infty' \mid \gcd(c_\infty, e_\infty) = \gcd(l^{n-\delta}, l^{n-d}) = l^{n-d}$. We have that F is the subfield $F_0 \cap R^+ \subseteq F \subseteq F_0$ such that $[F : F_0 \cap R^+] = c_\infty' \mid l^{n-d}$.



Example 5.3. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_5(T)$ and $K := k\big(\sqrt[3]{T(T^2+T+1)}\big) \cdot \mathbb{F}_{5^2} = k\big(T, \sqrt[3]{D(T)}\big) \cdot \mathbb{F}_{5^2}$, where $D(T) = T(T^2+T+1)$. Let $K_0 := k(\sqrt[3]{T(T^2+T+1)})$. Note that $K = K_0(\zeta_3) = K_0 \cdot \mathbb{F}_{5^2}$ is the Galois closure of K_0/k. We have that T and T^2+T+1 are irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_5(T)$ since $\zeta_3 \notin \mathbb{F}_5$ and $T^2+T+1 = \frac{T^3-1}{T-1} = (T-\zeta_3)(T-\zeta_3^2)$. In fact $\mathbb{F}_5(\zeta_3) = \mathbb{F}_{5^2} = \mathbb{F}_{25}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_T$ and $\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}$ be the prime divisors in $k = \mathbb{F}_5(T)$ corresponding to T and T^2+T+1 respectively. The infinite prime $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is unramified in K_0/k and in K/k because $\deg D(T) = 3$. Let $t_0(K_0)$ and $t_0(K)$ be given by (3.2) with respect to the fields K_0 and K respectively. Since $\gamma = 1$, from (5.2) we obtain

$$t_0(K_0) = \gcd_{0\le i\le 2}\big\{\big[\mathbb{F}_5(\zeta_3^i) : \mathbb{F}_5\big]\big\} = \gcd\{1,2,2\} = 1$$

and

$$t_0(K) = \gcd_{0\le i\le 2}\big\{\big[\mathbb{F}_{5^2}(\zeta_3^i) : \mathbb{F}_5\big]\big\} = \gcd_{0\le i\le 2}\{[\mathbb{F}_{5^2} : \mathbb{F}_5]\} = \gcd\{2,2,2\} = 2.$$

Since $t_0(K_0) \neq t_0(K)$, it follows that $(K_0)_{\mathfrak{ge}} \neq K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$.

Let $F_0 = F_{\mathcal{P}_T} F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}}$. We have

$$[F_{\mathcal{P}_T} : k] = c_{\mathcal{P}_T} = \gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}_T}, 5^{d_{\mathcal{P}_T}} - 1) = (3,4) = 1.$$

Therefore $F_{\mathcal{P}_T} = k$. Now

$$[F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}} : k] = c_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}} = \gcd(e_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}}, q^{\deg \mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}} - 1) = \gcd(3,24) = 3.$$

Since $q-1 = e_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}(k(\Lambda_{T^2+T+1})|k) = 4$ it follows that $F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}} \subseteq k(\Lambda_{T^2+T+1})^+$ and $F_0 = F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}} = F_0 \cap k(\Lambda_{T(T^2+T+1)})^+$. Hence F_0 is the unique subfield of $k(\Lambda_{T^2+T+1})$ of degree 3 over k and $F_0 = F$.

We have that $F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}} \cdot \mathbb{F}_{5^2}/\mathbb{F}_{5^2}(T)$ is a Kummer extension of degree 3 where the finite ramified primes are the primes in $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}(T)$ dividing $T^2+T+1 = (T-\zeta_3)(T-\zeta_3^2)$. Since $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ decomposes fully in $F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}}/k$, $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$, the infinite prime in $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}(T)$, decomposes fully in $F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}} \cdot \mathbb{F}_{5^2}/\mathbb{F}_{5^2}(T)$. Therefore $F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}} \cdot \mathbb{F}_{5^2} = \mathbb{F}_{5^2}(T)\big(\sqrt[3]{(-1)^{\deg Q} Q(T)}\big) = \mathbb{F}_{5^2}(T)\big(\sqrt[3]{Q(T)}\big)$ with $\deg Q(T) = 3$ and $T-\zeta_3, T-\zeta_3^2$ are the unique irreducible polynomials dividing $Q(T)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}} & \text{——} & F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}} \cdot \mathbb{F}_{5^2} = \mathbb{F}_{25}(T)\big(\sqrt[3]{Q(T)}\big) \\ {\scriptstyle 3}\,\big| & & \big|\,{\scriptstyle 3} \\ k & \text{————————} & \mathbb{F}_{25}(T) \end{array}$$

It follows that

$$F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}} \cdot \mathbb{F}_{5^2} = \mathbb{F}_{25}(T)\Big(\sqrt[3]{(T-\zeta_3)(T-\zeta_3^2)^2}\Big) = \mathbb{F}_{25}(T)\Big(\sqrt[3]{(T-\zeta_3)^2(T-\zeta_3^2)}\Big).$$

Now, since $F_0 = F$, from Remark 4.5 we obtain

$$K_{\mathfrak{ge}} = KF\mathbb{F}_{5^2} = \mathbb{F}_{25}\Big(T, \sqrt[3]{T(T-\zeta_3)(T-\zeta_3^2)}, \sqrt[3]{(T-\zeta_3)(T-\zeta_3^2)^2}\Big).$$

Let $K'_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ be the genus field of $K/\mathbb{F}_{25}(T)$. We may apply Peng's Theorem. With the notations from [15, Theorem 5.2], we have $r = 3, P_1 = T, P_2 = T-\zeta_3, P_3 =$

$T-\zeta_3^2, \gamma=1, \alpha=(-1)^{\deg D}\gamma=-1\in(\mathbb{F}_{25}^*)^3, a_1=a_2=2$. Thus

$$K'_{\mathfrak{ge}}=\mathbb{F}_{25}\Big(T, \sqrt[3]{T(T-\zeta_3^2)^2}, \sqrt[3]{(T-\zeta_3)(T-\zeta_3^2)^2}\Big).$$

We also have

$$\mathbb{F}_{25}\Big(T, \sqrt[3]{T(T-\zeta_3)(T-\zeta_3^2)}, \sqrt[3]{(T-\zeta_3)(T-\zeta_3^2)^2}\Big)$$
$$=\mathbb{F}_{25}\Big(T, \sqrt[3]{T(T-\zeta_3^2)^2}, \sqrt[3]{(T-\zeta_3)(T-\zeta_3^2)^2}\Big).$$

Therefore $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}=K'_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ (see Remark 5.4).

Finally, from Remark 4.5 $(K_0)_{\mathfrak{ge}}=KF=k\big(T, \sqrt[3]{D(T)}\big)F_{\mathcal{P}_{T^2+T+1}}$.

Remark 5.4. Let $k=\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ and let k_n be the extension of constants of k of degree n. Let K be any finite extension of k such that $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}\subseteq K$. Let $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ and $K'_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ be the genus fields of K/k and K/k_n respectively. Since the infinite prime divisors of K decompose fully in $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ and in $K'_{\mathfrak{ge}}$ and $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}/K$ and $K'_{\mathfrak{ge}}/K$ are abelian and unramified, it follows that $K_{\mathfrak{ge}}=K'_{\mathfrak{ge}}$.

REFERENCES

[1] Anglès, Bruno; Jaulent, Jean–François, *Théorie des genres des corps globaux*, Manuscripta Math. **101**, no. 4, 513–532, (2000).

[2] Artin, Emil; Tate John, *Class field theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1967.

[3] Bae, Sunghan; Koo, Ja Kyung, *Genus theory for function fields*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **60**, no. 3, 301–310, (1996).

[4] Bautista–Ancona, Víctor; Rzedowski–Calderón, Martha; Villa–Salvador, Gabriel, *Genus fields of cyclic l–extensions of rational function fields*, International Journal of Number Theory **9**, no. 5, 1249–1262, (2013).

[5] Clement, Rosario, *The genus field of an algebraic function field*, J. Number Theory **40**, no. 3, 359–375, (1992).

[6] Fröhlich, Albrecht, *The genus field and genus group in finite number fields*, Mathematika **6**, 40–46, (1959).

[7] Fröhlich, Albrecht, *The genus field and genus group in finite number fields, II*, Mathematika **6**, 142–146, (1959).

[8] Fröhlich, Albrecht, *Central extensions, Galois groups and ideal class groups of number fields*, Contemporary Mathematics, **24**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1983.

[9] Gauss, Carl Friedrich, *Disquisitiones arithmeticae*, 1801.

[10] Hasse, Helmut, *Zur Geschlechtertheorie in quadratischen Zahlkörpern*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **3**, 45–51, (1951).

[11] Hu, Su; Li, Yan, *The genus fields of Artin–Schreier extensions*, Finite Fields Appl. **16**, no. 4, 255–264, (2010).

[12] Ishida, Makoto, *The genus fields of algebraic number fields*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **555**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[13] Leopoldt, Heinrich W., *Zur Geschlechtertheorie in abelschen Zahlkörpern*, Math. Nachr. **9**, 351–362, (1953).

[14] Maldonado–Ramírez, M.; Rzedowski–Calderón, M.; Villa–Salvador, G., *Genus Fields of Abelian Extensions of Congruence Rational Function Fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **20**, 40–54 (2013).

[15] Maldonado–Ramírez, M.; Rzedowski–Calderón, M.; Villa–Salvador, G., *Corrigendum to Genus fields of abelian extensions of rational congruence function fields [Finite Fields Appl.* **20** *(2013) 40–54]*, Finite Fields Appl. **20**, 283–285 (2015).

[16] Peng, Guohua, *The genus fields of Kummer function fields*, J. Number Theory **98** , no. 2, 221–227, (2003).

[17] Rosen, Michael, *The Hilbert class field in function fields*, Exposition. Math. **5**, no. 4, 365–378, (1987).

[18] Salas–Torres, Julio Cesar; Rzedowski–Calderón Martha; Villa–Salvador, Gabriel, *Tamely ramified extensions and cyclotomic fields in characteristic p*, Palestine Journal of Mathematics 2, No. 1 (2013), 1–5.

[19] Villa Salvador, Gabriel Daniel, *Topics in the theory of algebraic function fields*, Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006.
[20] Wittmann, Christian, *l–class groups of cyclic function fields of degree l*, Finite Fields Appl. **13**, no. 2, 327–347, (2007).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, ESCUELA SUPERIOR DE FÍSICA Y MATEMÁTICAS DEL I.P.N.
E-mail address: rosalia@esfm.ipn.mx

DEPARTAMENTO DE CONTROL AUTOMÁTICO, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y DE ESTUDIOS AVANZADOS DEL I.P.N.
E-mail address: mrzedowski@ctrl.cinvestav.mx

DEPARTAMENTO DE CONTROL AUTOMÁTICO, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y DE ESTUDIOS AVANZADOS DEL I.P.N.
E-mail address: gvillasalvador@gmail.com, gvilla@ctrl.cinvestav.mx